1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	GRANDVIEW MUTUAL TELEPHONE COMPANY) DOCKET NO.
4	Petition for suspension or) modification of Section 251(b)(2))
5	requirements of the Federal)
6	Telecommunications Act pursuant to) Section 251(f)(2) of said Act; for) entry of Interim Order; and for
7	other necessary relief.)
8	Springfield, Illinois June 11, 2004
9	Mat purpose to patient at 1.45 D M
10	Met, pursuant to notice, at 1:45 P.M.
11	BEFORE:
12	MR. JOHN ALBERS, Administrative Law Judge
13	APPEARANCES:
14	MR. DENNIS K. MUNCY MR. JOSEPH D. MURPHY
15	306 West Church Street Champaign, Illinois 61826-6750
16	(Appearing on behalf of the various named Petitioners)
17	rectioners,
18	MR. RODERICK S. COY MR. HARAN CRAIG RASHES
19	Clark Hill, P.L.C. 2455 Woodlake Circle Okemos, Michigan 48864-5941
20	Okemos, Michigan 40004 3341
21	(Appearing on behalf of Verizon Wireless)
22	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Chervl A. Davis, Reporter, CSR License #084-001662

1	APPEARANCES:	(Cont'd)
2	MR. GARY L. SMITH Loewenstein, Hagen, Oehlert	& Smith, P.C.
3	1204 South Fourth Street Springfield, Illinois 6270	
4	(Appearing on behalf	of various named
5	Petitioners)	
6	MR. THOMAS R. STANTON MR. ERIC M. MADIAR	
7	160 North La Salle Street Suite C-800	
8	Chicago, Illinois 60601	
9	(Appearing on behalf Illinois Commerce C	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

1	I	N D E	E X		
2	WITNESSES DIR	RECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	JEFFREY H. HOAGG By Mr. Madiar 23	3.5			
4	By Judge Albers	,	237		
5					
6	EXHIBITS	MARKE	ID A	ADMITTED	
7	Gridley 1, 2, 3 Grandview Mutual 1, 2, 3	3		190 191	
8	Moultrie 1, 2, 3 Reynolds 1, 2, 3	,		191 192	
9	Montrose Mutual 1, 2 Rev Montrose Mutual 5	rised,	3, 4		
10	Crossville 1, 2 Revised, Glasford 1, 2 Revised, 3		1, 5	197 198	
11	Leaf River 1, 2 Revised, New Windsor 1, 2 Revised	3, 4	1, 5	200	
12	Oneida Telephone 1, 2 Revised Oneida Network 1, 2 Revi	evised			
13	Woodhull 1, 2 Revised, 3 Diverse 1, 2 Revised, 3	3	J	206 208	
14	Viola 1, 2 Revised, 3			209	
15	Verizon Wireless 1 & 2: 04-0180			212	
16	04-0180 04-0282 04-0196			212 213 213	
17	04-0190 04-0193 04-0198			214 214	
18	04-0136			215 216	
19	04-0200 04-0195 04-0200			216 216 217	
20	04-0200 04-0199 04-0197			217 218 219	
21	04-0197			219	
22					

1	I N D E X
2	EXHIBITS MARKED ADMITTED
3	04-0180: 223 Staff 1.0, 1.1, 3.0 with schedules
4	04-0282: 223
5	Staff 1.0, 1.1, 3.0 with schedules, and 3.4
6	
7	04-0196: 224 Staff 1.0, 1.1, 2.0, 2.1, 3.0 with schedules
8	
9	04-0283: 225 Staff 1.0, 1.1, 3.0 with schedules, 3.4
10	04-0193: 227
11	Staff 1.0 Revised, 1.1, 3.0 Revised with schedules, 3.4
12	04-0198: 227
13	Staff 1.0 Revised, 1.1, 2.0, 2.1, 3.0 Revised with schedules, 3.4
14	04-0189: 228 Staff 1.0, 1.1, 3.0 with schedules, 3.4
15	
16	04-0206: 229 Staff 1.0, 1.1, 3.0 with schedules, 3.4
17	04-0195: 229
18	Staff 1.0 Revised, 1.1, 2.0, 2.1, 3.0 Revised with schedules, 3.4
19	04-0200: 231
20	Staff 1.0 Revised, 1.1, 2.0, 2.1, 3.0 Revised with schedules, 3.4
21	04-0199: 232 Staff 1.0 Revised, 1.1, 2.0, 2.1,
22	3.0 Revised with schedules, 3.4

1		I N	D E X	ζ	
2	EXHIBITS	M	ARKED	А	DMITTED
3	04-0197:	1	2 0	2 1	232
4	Staff 1.0 Revised, 1 3.0 Revised with s				
5	04-0192: Staff 1.0 Revised, 1	1	2 0	2 1	234
6	3.0 Revised with sc				
7	04-0194: Staff 1.0 Revised, 1	1	2 0	2 1	234
8	3.0 Revised with sc				
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE ALBERS: By the authority vested in me by
3	the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
4	Numbers 04-0180, 04-0282, 04-0196, 04-0283, 04-0193,
5	04-0198, 04-0189, 04-0206, 04-0195, 04-0200,
6	04-0199, 04-0197, 04-0192, and 04-0194. These
7	dockets concern various small incumbent local
8	well, strike that. These dockets concern the
9	petitions of various small carriers, all of whom
10	seek a suspension or modification of Section
11	251(b)(2) requirements of the Federal
12	Telecommunications Act.
13	May I have the appearances for the
14	record, please.
15	MR. RASHES: Good morning, Your Honor. On
16	behalf of good afternoon, Your Honor. On behalf
17	of Verizon Wireless and all the aforementioned
18	dockets except for 04-0192 and except for 04-0283
19	I'd like to enter the appearance of Haran C. Rashes
20	Roderick S. Coy of the law firm Clark Hill, P.L.C.,
21	2455 Woodlake Circle, Okemos, Michigan 48864.
22	MR. MUNCY: Your Honor, Dennis K. Muncy and

- Joseph D, Murphy, 306 West Church Street, Champaign,
- 2 Illinois 61820. We're entering appearances for the
- 3 petitioners in Dockets 04-0180, 04-0282, 04-0189,
- 4 and 04-0206.
- 5 MR. SMITH: Good afternoon, Judge. My name is
- 6 Gary Lloyd Smith. My business address is 1204 South
- 7 Fourth Street, Springfield, Illinois 62703. I'm
- 8 appearing today on behalf of Montrose Mutual
- 9 Telephone Company, 04-0196; Crossville Telephone
- 10 Company, 04-0283; Glasford Telephone Company,
- 11 04-0193; Leaf River Telephone Company, 04-0198; New
- Windsor Telephone Company, 04-0195; Oneida Telephone
- Exchange, Inc., 04-0200; Oneida Network Services,
- Inc., 04-0199; Woodhull Community Telephone Company,
- 15 04-0197, Diverse Communications, Inc., 04-0192; and
- Viola Home Telephone Company, 04-0194.
- MR. MADIAR: Appearing on behalf of Staff of
- 18 the Illinois Commerce Commission in all the matters
- called for hearing today, Eric Madiar and Tom
- 20 Stanton, Office of General Counsel, 160 North
- La Salle Street, Suite 800, Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you.

- 1 Let the record reflect that there are no
 2 others wishing to enter an appearance.
- These cases have been called together
 really for purposes of efficiency and have not been
 consolidated with the exception of two pairs of
 two. The two that have been consolidated are
 04-0200 and 04-0199 as well as 04-0197 with
 04-0192.

Also I will note that this status hearing was called at the request of Verizon Wireless which I understand would like to make a proposal on the record regarding the evidentiary hearings in these cases which have yet to be conducted.

MR. RASHES: Your Honor, Verizon Wireless as we proposed off the record earlier would like to propose the admission of Mr. McDermott's testimony and Attachments A, B, C, and D into the record today without cross-examination or objection and in exchange for which Verizon Wireless would agree to the admission of Staff and the petitioners' prefiled testimony and exhibits without objection and will have no questions for any of the witnesses in these

- 1 proceedings.
- Now it has also been indicated to me off
- 3 the record by one of the counsel that they would
- 4 only agree to this if we dropped Exhibit D, and
- 5 reluctantly we would drop Exhibit D -- I'm sorry --
- Attachment D to Exhibit 1 of Mr. McDermott's
- 7 testimony. We would reluctantly drop that in the
- 8 interest of efficiency and getting this moved
- 9 along.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Well, I'll hear from the other
- 11 parties.
- MR. MUNCY: I'd like to understand what the
- 13 Staff's position is.
- MR. MADIAR: Staff would have no objection to
- the overture made by Verizon Wireless' counsel to
- admit the testimony without cross-examination and
- 17 all attachments to the prefiled testimony of Staff
- 18 or any of the other parties provided, however, we
- 19 have had off-the-record discussions with Mr. Smith
- and some of the cases he has entered he has filed
- 21 prefiled testimony with and there's a minor change
- to be made in the prefiled testimony for all the

- dockets in which he's counsel for, and it's our
- 2 understanding that he's agreed to make that minor
- 3 change to his prefiled direct testimony.
- 4 JUDGE ALBERS: Are you aware of that,
- 5 Mr. Rashes?
- 6 MR. RASHES: Yes, I am.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.
- 8 MR. MUNCY: Your Honor, yes, I think we are
- 9 willing to do this in behalf of the remaining cases
- 10 that I have. I guess I'm interested in how we are
- going to do this, if it's acceptable to you, to just
- 12 put these in by affidavit or somehow, and I'm
- wanting to understand as a part of the process how
- we are going to do this, but, yes, if it is just
- admitting all of the prefiled testimony and exhibits
- of Verizon Wireless, Staff witnesses, and the
- 17 companies' witnesses in the dockets that I've
- 18 entered an appearance in and with no
- 19 cross-examination by anyone, that's acceptable to
- 20 us.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Mr. Smith?
- MR. SMITH: Judge, I believe that the proposal

would be acceptable -- it is acceptable to my clients, and I would like to state that I do have the minor change that the Staff referred to. It's essentially the insertion of two or three words into a question with no problem in modifying all the cases that I'm involved in in that fashion, and I quess I'm interested in your response on mechanically how you would like to handle this. Whether you want to do this on or off the record is fine with me.

anything or say anything further, there is one question I'm wondering if counsel for the petitioner is willing to stipulate to, and for your benefit,

Mr. Smith, I don't know if you were in the room when I asked the companies' witnesses in the prior cases this question, but essentially would it be correct for me to understand that the petitioner is not only seeking a waiver under Section 251(f)(2)(A)(i) of the Act as it pertains to the impact on customers, and in the prior cases I believe every petitioner witness answered yes, and I am wondering if the

- 1 parties are willing to stipulate that that's the
- 2 case with these remaining dockets.
- 3 MR. SMITH: Yes.
- 4 MR. MUNCY: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.
- 6 Well, on the one hand it would certainly
- 7 speed things up, but it also runs the obvious
- 8 possibility that we have essentially different
- 9 records in different cases then given that in some
- 10 cases there would be extensive cross and in other
- 11 cases there would not, but, again, that's the
- 12 parties' decisions. I'm not going to --
- MR. SMITH: Well, I would observe that there's
- some intervention in some cases and some not.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Absolutely, absolutely.
- 16 MR. RASHES: I also have heard numerous times
- in the other cases you said you plan to treat them
- 18 each individually, so.
- 19 JUDGE ALBERS: Absolutely. That is correct as
- 20 well.
- 21 MR. SMITH: Judge, I don't know if you -- I
- mean do you have any cross-examination of the

- 1 witnesses?
- JUDGE ALBERS: The rest of you know the answer
- 3 to that question. My cross has been I would say
- 4 pretty limited.
- 5 MR. SMITH: Assuming you want this on the
- 6 record, Mr. Korte is here. Two of the cases can be
- 7 disposed of today. I have six cases, and Mr. Muncy
- 8 has endured the entire week here and has one
- 9 remaining case with the same witness who could be
- 10 made available this afternoon to sponsor his
- exhibits, assuming that the only procedural
- mechanism would be your cross-examination, and that
- would leave only two cases left for next week in
- which the witness is out of town, up in northern
- 15 Illinois.
- I would propose that if we still have two
- and the Staff, of course, is in Chicago, save them
- the trip, that perhaps if we could do your
- cross-examination by telephone with the witness in
- the two remaining cases. That would be with
- 21 Mr. Petrouske in Leaf River and Glasford. Then I
- 22 think we could readily close the record in the

- 1 remaining number of cases and still give you the
- 2 opportunity to answer the -- or to ask the questions
- 3 that you have done in the other cases.
- 4 MR. MUNCY: I think maybe we just stipulate to
- 5 the questions you wanted to ask our witnesses.
- 6 MR. SMITH: Is that the only question?
- 7 MR. MUNCY: It's the other witnesses. He's
- 8 asked Staff witnesses questions.
- 9 JUDGE ALBERS: Yeah.
- 10 MR. SMITH: Oh, okay. Is that the only
- 11 questions -- I'm sorry. I thought there were more
- 12 questions.
- MR. MUNCY: May be.
- MR. SMITH: If not, that's fine.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.
- MR. SMITH: I didn't want to encourage
- anything.
- 18 MR. MUNCY: Judge, I was looking for a way, you
- know, depending on what you wanted to do about the
- 20 questions, whether there was a possibility that
- 21 maybe the witnesses did not have to appear, and if
- you did not have questions and something could be

- submitted by affidavit or whatever so that -- to make things easier for everyone.
- JUDGE ALBERS: I appreciate that thought.
- MR. RASHES: Your Honor, I'd concur with

 Mr. Muncy and propose possibly each witness in each

 separate docket, you'd have it separately, would

 file an affidavit by Wednesday stating that their

 prefiled testimony -- you know, basically put them

 under oath for their prefiled testimony with the
- JUDGE ALBERS: Right. Okay. Let me just go

 off the record here for a minute. I kind of want to

 think this through mechanically.
- (Whereupon at this point in the
 proceedings an off-the-record discussion
 transpired.)
- 17 JUDGE ALBERS: Back on the record.

affidavit.

Based on off-the-record discussions, it

is my understanding that counsel for each of the

parties is in concurrence on Mr. Rashes' proposal,

but just so the record is clear I'm going to ask

counsel for each of the parties to state for the

- 1 record what it is they believe they are agreeing to,
- and we'll start with that, and then since you made
- 3 the offer, Mr. Rashes, why don't you go first with
- 4 what your understanding is of the proposal.
- 5 MR. RASHES: My understanding, as I proposed
- 6 earlier, is that in the remaining dockets, obviously
- 7 those of which Verizon Wireless is intervenor,
- 8 Mr. McDermott's testimony and prefiled Attachments
- 9 A, B, and C -- we had originally 1 D, and I just
- want to preserve for the record that we reluctantly
- 11 withdrew the request to have D in -- will be
- 12 admitted into the evidence via an affidavit of
- 13 Mr. McDermott that will be filed with this
- 14 Commission in the next few days, probably by
- Wednesday, and there will be no cross-examination or
- objection to the admission of his prefiled testimony
- and attachments into the record, and Verizon
- Wireless will agree to the admission of Staff and
- each of the petitioners' prefiled testimony and
- 20 exhibits without objection and will have no
- 21 questions for any of the witnesses in these
- 22 proceedings.

1 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Who would like to go

2 next?

3 MR. MUNCY: Your Honor, my understanding is 4 that all of the testimony which has been previously 5 distributed, and certain of my testimony was not previously filed on the e-Docket in the cases that 6 I'm involved in, the direct testimony, but both the 7 8 direct testimony and the rebuttal testimony as previously distributed of each of the petitioners 9 10 together with the testimony of Verizon Wireless in 11 the cases they are involved in as discussed and 12 described by Mr. Rashes and that the Staff testimony 13 in each of the dockets that I'm involved in as previously filed and distributed, that all of that 14 15 would be incorporated into the record with no 16 further cross-examination by any of the parties that 17 the Judge, that you, Your Honor, have -- it's understood that you have a couple of additional 18 19 questions for Mr. Hoagg which would be incorporated into the record of each of the dockets, and that but 20 21 for that there would be no further cross-22 examination, so the record of the individual cases

but for the stipulation that Mr. Smith and I made

concerning the section that we were proceeding would

simply include the prefiled testimony of each of the

witnesses as supplemented in Mr. Hoagg's case by the

two questions. There were several questions that

you may be asking him.

JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. SMITH: My understanding is consistent with Mr. Rashes and Mr. Muncy with the additional proviso that in the -- that the same agreement would apply in the cases where Verizon Wireless has not intervened in the Crossville case and the Diverse case so that the agreement would be between the Petitioner and the Staff to allow the prefiled testimony and exhibits in without cross-examination for both the Staff and the petitioner and with the further understanding that in Exhibit 2, the rebuttal testimony in each case that I'm involved in, we will have new -- we will submit a new Exhibit 2 to the Court Reporter with one minor modification of the question.

JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you.

- 1 MR. MADIAR: Staff's understanding is as
- 2 Mr. Rashes and Mr. Muncy have described it for the
- 3 cases that they are involved in and Staff is
- 4 involved in and the integration of the questions
- 5 that the Judge would pose to Mr. Hoagg, and we would
- 6 agree with the description that Mr. Smith has
- 7 provided for the cases that Staff is involved in
- 8 whether Verizon has filed a petition to intervene in
- 9 that case or not.
- 10 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you, gentlemen.
- In that case then, does anyone -- strike
- 12 that.
- In that case, we'll go ahead and convert
- the status hearing into the evidentiary hearing for
- all of these matters, assuming no objection.
- MR. SMITH: No objection.
- MR. MUNCY: No objection.
- MR. MADIAR: No objection.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.
- 20 MR. RASHES: Your Honor, if we could go off the
- 21 record for one second.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Off the record.

1	(Whereupon at this point in the
2	proceedings an off-the-record discussion
3	transpired.)
4	JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Back on the record.
5	Again, no one has any objection to
6	turning this status hearing into the evidentiary
7	hearing.
8	MR. SMITH: I didn't hear from Mr. Rashes I
9	believe on that.
10	MR. RASHES: I have no objections to that.
11	MR. SMITH: Okay.
12	JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you, everyone. In that
13	case then we will ask the attorneys for the various
14	company witnesses to identify
15	MR. MUNCY: How we're going to proceed?
16	JUDGE ALBERS: How we're going to proceed
17	essentially with the particular exhibits you will be
18	seeking to offer and just who'll identify the
19	affidavit whenever that's provided. Just give it a
20	number basically is all I'm saying.
21	MR. MUNCY: Your Honor,
22	JUDGE ALBERS: Perhaps if you just identify the

- 1 individual witness in each case.
- 2 MR. MUNCY: Yes. In Docket 04-0180, Gridley
- 3 Telephone Company, I would propose that we would be
- 4 submitting affidavits of Mr. Korte together with his
- 5 direct and his rebuttal testimony and the
- 6 attachments.
- 7 As you know, Judge, in the various
- 8 dockets that I've been involved in the direct
- 9 testimony has not been filed on the e-Docket. The
- 10 rebuttal testimony has. I will be getting
- 11 appropriate affidavits from Mr. Korte concerning
- both his direct and rebuttal testimony and
- 13 attachments and propose to send those to you as
- 14 quickly as I can.
- In Docket 04-0282 we would also be
- introducing the direct and rebuttal testimony of
- 17 Grandview Mutual Telephone Company which was, once
- again, Mr. Korte, and those would be supported by an
- 19 affidavit, and we would be sending those to you as
- 20 those documents were distributed to the various
- 21 parties.
- 22 In Docket 04-0189, which is Moultrie

- Independent Telephone Company, I will be submitting
 to you the affidavit of Mike Petrouske in support of
 his direct and rebuttal testimony in those dockets
- 4 together with the attachments thereto.
- And, finally, in Docket 04-0206, Reynolds

 Telephone Company, I would be supplying to you the

 affidavit of Gordon Kraut in support of both his

 direct and rebuttal testimony in that docket and the

 attachments thereto, and it's my understanding that

 with the submission of those affidavits with the

 direct and rebuttal testimony that those exhibits
- JUDGE ALBERS: And I'm assuming you'd be

 offering one affidavit for both direct and rebuttal;

 correct?

and attachments would be admitted into the record.

MR. MUNCY: I believe so, yes.

- JUDGE ALBERS: Why don't we call that affidavit

 -- we'll mark that Company Exhibit 3 in each case.
- MR. MUNCY: Whatever the name of the company is?
- JUDGE ALBERS: Whatever the name of the company is, yes.

1	JUDGE ALBERS: And is it your intention to
2	simply submit a hard copy of that affidavit or shall
3	I look for that on e-Docket? Just so I know where
4	to look.
5	MR. MUNCY: I would think can we go off the
6	record for a moment?
7	JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Off the record.
8	(Whereupon at this point in the
9	proceedings an off-the-record discussion
10	transpired.)
11	JUDGE ALBERS: Back on the record.
12	MR. MUNCY: Your Honor, I would propose that in
13	the cases that I've identified that I'm involved in
14	that I send you a hard copy of the affidavit which
15	will reference both the rebuttal and direct
16	testimony and attachments, and I will submit to you
17	at the time I send you the affidavit a copy of the
18	direct testimony which has previously been
19	distributed with the attachments. Since that's not
20	previously been filed on the e-Docket, I understand
21	that you'll see that it's properly marked and

everything.

- 1 JUDGE ALBERS: Yes, yes, I will.
- 2 MR. MUNCY: And I will identify the affidavit
- 3 as the various companies' Exhibit Number 3. Since
- I've provided you with hard copies of the documents,
- 5 I will get them to you as quickly as possible, and
- I'm not sure about people's availability, but I will
- 7 assume it will be by the end of next week at the
- 8 latest.
- 9 JUDGE ALBERS: That's fine. Thank you.
- Now you did move for their admission,
- 11 correct?
- MR. MUNCY: Yes.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Is there any objection --
- MR. MUNCY: Yes, I would move to admit.
- JUDGE ALBERS: I was going to ask if there is
- any objection.
- 17 MR. MADIAR: No objection from Staff.
- 18 MR. RASHES: No objection from Verizon Wireless
- in those cases in which we are participating.
- Obviously no objection in those we're not
- 21 participating.
- 22 JUDGE ALBERS: Very well. Then in each of the

```
1
         cases in which Mr. Muncy is representing the company
         the Exhibit 1, which is the direct testimony of the
 2
         company, and Exhibit 2, which is the rebuttal
 3
 4
         testimony of the company, and Exhibit 3, which is
         the affidavit which will be submitted at a later
 5
 6
         time, as well as the attachments to Exhibits 1 and
 7
         2, they are all admitted.
 8
              MR. MUNCY: Thank you, Your Honor.
 9
              JUDGE ALBERS: Off the record.
10
                    (Whereupon at this point in the
11
                    proceedings an off-the-record discussion
12
                    transpired.)
13
              JUDGE ALBERS: Back on the record.
14
                    So the transcript is clear, I will admit
15
         each of the exhibits by their specific name.
16
                    Therefore, Gridley Exhibit 1 with its
17
         attachments, Gridley Exhibit 2 with its attachments,
        and Gridley Exhibit 3 are each admitted.
18
                    (Whereupon Gridley Exhibits 1, 2, and 3
19
                    were received into evidence.)
20
21
              MR. MUNCY: Do you need to say the Docket
```

Number?

```
1
              JUDGE ALBERS: I think we're okay as long as I
         identify the company, but you looked like you were
 2
         going to say something.
 3
 4
              MR. MUNCY: No. I was just wondering whether
         you should specify the docket number they're
 5
 6
         admitted in.
 7
              JUDGE ALBERS: I can if everyone would feel
 8
         safer.
 9
                    So with regard to Gridley, that's
10
         04 - 0180.
11
                    With regard to Docket Number 04-0282,
         Grandview Mutual Exhibit 1 and its attachments,
12
13
         Grandview Mutual Exhibit 2 and its attachment, and
         Grandview Exhibit 3 is admitted.
14
15
                    (Whereupon Grandview Exhibits 1, 2, and 3
                    were received into evidence.)
16
17
                    In Docket Number 04-0189, Moultrie
         Exhibit 1, Moultrie Exhibit 2 and their attachments,
18
         and Moultrie Exhibit 3 are admitted.
19
20
                    (Whereupon Moultrie Exhibits 1, 2, and 3
21
                    were received into evidence.)
```

JUDGE ALBERS: In Docket Number 04-0206,

- 1 Reynolds Exhibit 1 and its attachments, Reynolds
- 2 Exhibit 2 and its attachment, and Reynolds Exhibit 3
- 3 are admitted.
- 4 (Whereupon Reynolds Exhibits 1, 2, and 3
- 5 were received into evidence.)
- 6 MR. MUNCY: Thank you, Your Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.
- 8 Mr. Smith.
- 9 MR. SMITH: Mine is perhaps a little simpler
- 10 but yet has its own permutations here.
- I have on e-Docket for Montrose Mutual
- Telephone Company, 04-0196, Montrose Mutual Exhibits
- 13 1, 2 and 3. Exhibits 1 and 2 have attachments to
- them. Exhibit 3 is the testimony of George Patrick
- Tays, and an affidavit has already been filed on
- e-Docket for Montrose Mutual Telephone Company
- 17 Exhibit 3.
- I have agreed with the Staff that I am
- 19 going to revise the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Korte
- in Montrose Mutual Exhibit 2 in the following
- 21 manner:
- There is a question on line 68 on the

presently filed Exhibit 2 that says: "Does Mr. Koch generally agree with the cost development put forth in your testimony?" That question will be revised to read as follows: "Does Mr. Koch generally agree with the format of the cost development put forth in your testimony?" The words "the format of" is the change or the added words that we will incorporate into the new question.

It does not seem to change the lines or the pagination of this exhibit or any of the other exhibits for any of the other companies that I represent. I will make that change throughout all the rest of the dockets and would suggest that Exhibit 2 be filed on e-Docket as a Revised Exhibit 2 together with an affidavit of Mr. Korte which would be Montrose Mutual Exhibit 4 referring then to Exhibits 1 and 2 and their respective attachments.

I would move for admission of Montrose

Mutual Telephone Company's Exhibits 1, 2, 3 and 4,

with 4 and Revised Exhibit 2 to be late-filed on

e-Docket.

JUDGE ALBERS: And you did offer these for

- 1 admission? 2 MR. SMITH: I did. 3 JUDGE ALBERS: Any objection then to the four 4 exhibits for Montrose Mutual? MR. MADIAR: No, Your Honor, none from Staff. 5 6 MR. RASHES: No objection, Your Honor. JUDGE ALBERS: Hearing no objection then, 7 Montrose Mutual Exhibits 1, 2 Revised, 3, and 4 are 8 admitted into the record in Docket 04-0196. 9 10 (Whereupon Montrose Mutual Exhibits 1, 2 11 Revised, 3, and 4 were received into 12 evidence.) 13 And Exhibit 1 is on e-Docket. Correct? MR. SMITH: Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 are on 14 15 e-Docket. There is also a verification of Mr. Tays 16 that's on e-Docket already that's not marked as an 17 exhibit. JUDGE ALBERS: But it is part of his Exhibit 18 3? 19
- 20 MR. SMITH: I'm not sure if it was
 21 independently filed. I think it was independently
 22 filed.

Τ	JUDGE ALBERS: OII the record.
2	(Whereupon at this point in the
3	proceedings an off-the-record discussion
4	transpired.)
5	MR. SMITH: Judge, in order to clarify the
6	record I would propose that the affidavit of George
7	Patrick Tays that is filed on e-Docket be regarded
8	as Exhibit 5 in the Montrose Mutual proceeding, and
9	I ask for it to be admitted.
. 0	JUDGE ALBERS: Is there any objection to
.1	Exhibit 5?
.2	MR. RASHES: No objection.
.3	MR. MADIAR: None from Staff.
. 4	JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Then Exhibit 5, Montrose
.5	Mutual Exhibit 5, is also admitted into the record
. 6	in Docket Number 04-0196.
.7	(Whereupon Montrose Mutual Exhibit 5 was
. 8	received into evidence.)
L 9	And it's my understanding that Exhibits
20	1, 3, and 5 will be admitted as they appear on
21	e-Docket, and we will receive Exhibit 2 Revised and
22	Exhibit 4, and those will be filed on e-Docket?

- 1 MR. SMITH: Yes.
- JUDGE ALBERS: And is there anything further
- 3 with regard to Montrose and its exhibits?
- 4 MR. SMITH: No.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

Turning now to Docket 04-0283, Crossville

Telephone Company, again, on e-Docket are Exhibits 1

and 2 which involved the testimony of Mr. Korte and

attachments to each of those. There is an Exhibit

3, the testimony of Chris Birkla. There's also an

independent document that's the affidavit of Chris

Birkla. All of those are on e-Docket.

I would move that the affidavit of Chris Birkla be regarded as Crossville Exhibit 4 and that I will file late-file the affidavit of Mr. Korte which would be marked as Crossville Exhibit 5.

We will also revise Exhibit 2 to insert into one question "the format of", and the revised rebuttal testimony would be marked as Revised Exhibit 2 and filed on e-Docket, and I would move for admission of Crossville Exhibit 1, Revised Exhibit 2, 3, 4, and 5 including the attachments to

- 1 the exhibits.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Is there any objection?
- MR. MADIAR: None from Staff, Your Honor.
- 4 JUDGE ALBERS: Hearing no objection, then in
- 5 Docket 04-0283 Crossville Exhibit 1, Crossville
- 6 Exhibit 2 Revised, Crossville Exhibit 3, Crossville
- 7 Exhibit 4, and Crossville Exhibit 5 are admitted.
- 8 (Whereupon Crosville Exhibits 1, 2
- 9 Revised, 3, 4, and 5 were received into
- 10 evidence.)
- JUDGE ALBERS: I would note for the
- 12 record that Crossville Exhibit 2 Revised and
- 13 Crossville Exhibit 5 will be filed on e-Docket
- whereas the remainder are currently already on
- 15 e-Docket.
- 16 Anything further with regard to
- 17 Crossville?
- MR. SMITH: No.
- 19 JUDGE ALBERS: All right.
- MR. SMITH: May we go off the record for a
- 21 second?
- JUDGE ALBERS: Off the record.

1	(Whereupon at this point in the
2	proceedings an off-the-record discussion
3	transpired.)
4	JUDGE ALBERS: Back on the record.
5	Turning then to Glasford.
6	MR. SMITH: Glasford, Docket 04-0193, already
7	on e-Docket is the testimony of Mr. Petrouske as
8	Glasford Exhibits 1 and 2. I would propose to file
9	on e-Docket the affidavit of Mr. Petrouske as
LO	Glasford Exhibit 3 and move for admission of I'm
1	sorry. I would also file a Revised Exhibit 2, file
L2	that on e-Docket, both in its proprietary and public
13	version, and I move for admission of Glasford
L 4	Exhibit 1, Glasford Revised Exhibit 2 and affidavit
15	which will be marked as Glasford Exhibit 3.
L 6	JUDGE ALBERS: Any objection?
L7	MR. RASHES: No objection, Your Honor.
L8	MR. MADIAR: No objection.
L 9	JUDGE ALBERS: Then in Docket Number 04-0193,
20	Glasford Exhibit 1, Glasford Exhibit 2 Revised, and
21	Glasford Exhibit 3 are admitted into the record.

(Whereupon Glasford Exhibits 1, 2

1	Revised, and 3 were received i	.nto
2	evidence.)	
3	I'll note for the record that	Exhibit 1
4	is already on e-Docket and the latter two	will be
5	filed on docket.	

Anything further with regard to

7 Glasford?

8 MR. SMITH: No.

9 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

MR. SMITH: In Docket 04-0198, Leaf River

Telephone Company, there is on e-Docket the

testimony of Mr. Petrouske marked as Leaf River

Exhibits 1 and 2. There is also the testimony of

Katherine Barney marked as Exhibit 3, and I have

filed Katherine Barney's affidavit on e-Docket

together with a motion to admit her affidavit into

the record as late-filed and accordingly would

propose that Ms. Barney's affidavit be considered

Leaf River Exhibit 4, and that I will file on

e-Docket Mr. Petrouske's affidavit which will be

marked as Leaf River Exhibit 5, and I'll revise

Exhibit 2 to modify one question with the phrase

- 1 "the format of", and I will file Revised Exhibit 2
- 2 on e-Docket.
- 3 So I would move for admission of Leaf
- River Exhibit 1, Leaf River Revised Exhibit 2, 3, 4,
- 5 and 5.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Any objection?
- 7 MR. RASHES: No objection to the exhibits, Your
- 8 Honor.
- 9 MR. MADIAR: No.
- 10 MR. RASHES: And no objection to the motion for
- instanter, leave to file instanter.
- MR. MADIAR: No objection from Staff, Your
- Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: To either?
- MR. MADIAR: None.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Then in Docket Number
- 17 04-0198 Leaf River Exhibit 1, Leaf River Exhibit 2
- Revised, Leaf River Exhibit 3, Leaf River Exhibit 4,
- and Leaf River Exhibit 5 are all admitted into the
- 20 record.
- 21 (Whereupon Leaf River Exhibits 1, 2
- Revised, 3, 4, and 5 were received into

1 evidence.) I will note that Exhibits 1, 3, and 4 are 2 on e-Docket, and Exhibit 2 Revised and Exhibit 5 3 4 will be filed on e-Docket. I also note that the motion for leave to 5 6 file instanter filed by Mr. Smith is granted. 7 Is there anything further with regard to Leaf River? 8 9 MR. SMITH: No. 10 JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you. 11 MR. SMITH: If we can go off the record. 12 JUDGE ALBERS: Off the record. 13 (Whereupon at this point in the 14 proceedings an off-the-record discussion 15 transpired.) MR. SMITH: In Docket 04-0195 there is on 16 17 e-Docket the testimony of Gordon Kraut, with a K, marked as New Windsor Telephone Company Exhibits 1 18 19 and 2. There are attachments to Exhibit 1. We 20 propose to file the affidavit of Mr. Kraut which will be marked as Leaf River -- I'm sorry -- New 21

Windsor Telephone Company Exhibit 3 and to modify

1	Exhibit 2 with a revision inserting the words "the
2	format of" in a question in Exhibit 2 so that we
3	will file a Revised Exhibit 2 on e-Docket.
4	So I would move for admission of New
5	Windsor Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2 Revised, and Exhibit 3.
6	JUDGE ALBERS: Any objection?
7	MR. RASHES: No objection, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE ALBERS: Then New Windsor Exhibit 1, New
9	Windsor Exhibit 2 Revised, and New Windsor Exhibit 3
10	are admitted into the record in Docket 04-0195. The
11	first is on e-Docket and the latter two will be
12	provided or filed on e-Docket later.
13	(Whereupon New Windsor Exhibits 1, 2
14	Revised, and 3 were received into
15	evidence.)
16	Anything further for New Windsor?
17	MR. SMITH: No.
18	JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you.
19	In Docket 04-0200, Oneida Telephone
20	Exchange, Inc., I essentially have the same exhibits
21	and the same change as I did in the New Windsor

case. Mr. Kraut's testimony is on file as Oneida

- 1 Telephone Exhibits 1 and 2. We'll file Mr. Kraut's
- 2 affidavit and mark it as Oneida Telephone Exhibit
- 3. We will revise Exhibit 2 and file a Revised
- 4 Exhibit 2 with a small change in one question to
- insert the words "the format of", and we'll file
- Revised Exhibit 2 and Exhibit 3 on e-Docket.
- 7 So I would at this time move for
- 8 admission of Oneida Telephone Exchange, Inc.
- 9 Exhibits 1, Revised Exhibit 2, and Exhibit 3.
- 10 JUDGE ALBERS: Any objections?
- 11 MR. MADIAR: No objection from Staff, Your
- Honor.
- 13 MR. RASHES: No objection from Verizon
- Wireless, Your Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you.
- MR. SMITH: I would also note that with regard
- 17 to Revised Exhibit 2, it will be both in public and
- 18 proprietary format.
- MR. RASHES: We still have no objection.
- MR. MADIAR: None from Staff.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you.
- Hearing no objection, then Oneida

- 1 Telephone Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2 Revised, and Exhibit
- 2 3 will be admitted into the record in Docket Number
- 3 04 0200.
- 4 (Whereupon Oneida Telephone Exhibits 1, 2
- 5 Revised, and 3 were received into
- 6 evidence.)
- 7 At this point I would also ask -- this
- 8 docket is consolidated with Docket Number 04-0199.
- 9 In light of there having been separate sets of
- 10 testimony filed in each of the two dockets, is there
- any objection to severing these two cases for
- 12 purposes of the order?
- MR. SMITH: No.
- MR. MADIAR: None from Staff.
- MR. RASHES: Not from Verizon Wireless, Your
- Honor.
- 17 JUDGE ALBERS: Then let the record reflect that
- 18 Docket Number 04-0200 has been severed from Docket
- 19 Number 04-0199.
- Is there anything further with regard to
- 21 Oneida Telephone?
- MR. SMITH: No.

- JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Thank you.

 MR. SMITH: In Docket 04-0199, Oneida Network

 Services, Inc., there is on file on e-Docket Oneida
- 4 Network Exhibits 1 and 2. We will file Oneida
- 5 Network Exhibit 3 which will be the affidavit of
- 6 Mr. Kraut, and we will revise Exhibit 2 to insert
- 7 the words "the format of" in Exhibit 2 and file a
- 8 Revised Exhibit 2 on e-Docket.
- Accordingly, we move for admission of

 Oneida Network Exhibit 1, Revised Exhibit 2, and

 Exhibit 3. Exhibit 2 will be both proprietary and
- 12 public.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Any objections?
- MR. MADIAR: No objection.
- MR. RASHES: No objection.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Hearing no objection, then

 Oneida Network Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2 Revised, and

 Exhibit 3 are admitted into the record. The first
- 19 appears on e-Docket and the latter two will be
- submitted shortly on e-Docket.
- 21 (Whereupon Oneida Network Exhibits 1, 2
- Revised, and 3 were received into

- 1 evidence.)
- JUDGE ALBERS: Anything further with regard to
- 3 Oneida Network?
- 4 MR. SMITH: No.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you.
- 6 MR. SMITH: Next is Docket 04-0197 which is
- 7 Woodhull Community Telephone Company. There is on
- file Woodhull Exhibits 1 and 2 on e-Docket. We will
- 9 file the affidavit of Mr. Kraut and mark it as
- 10 Exhibit 3. We will revise Exhibit 2 and insert the
- words "the format of" in one of the questions and
- file that on e-Docket as well in both the public and
- 13 proprietary version.
- Accordingly, at this time we would move
- to admit Woodhull Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2 Revised, and
- 16 Exhibit 3.
- 17 JUDGE ALBERS: Any objection?
- MR. MADIAR: No objection.
- MR. RASHES: No objection, Your Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Hearing no objection, then
- 21 Woodhull Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2 Revised, and Exhibit 3
- are admitted into the record in Docket 04-0197, and

- 1 I'll note that the first is on e-Docket and the
- 2 latter two will be filed on e-Docket shortly.
- 3 (Whereupon Woodhull Exhibits 1, 2
- 4 Revised, and 3 were received into
- 5 evidence.)
- 6 JUDGE ALBERS: Anything further with regard to
- 7 Woodhull?
- 8 MR. SMITH: Judge, this case is consolidated
- 9 with 04-0192. Would it be your pleasure at this
- 10 time to sever those?
- 11 JUDGE ALBERS: I think it would be. Thank
- 12 you. Would anyone object to that, of course?
- 13 MR. SMITH: I have no objection.
- MR. MADIAR: No objection from Staff.
- MR. RASHES: Your Honor, considering that
- 16 Verizon Wireless has intervened in one and not the
- other before you consolidated, we certainly have no
- 18 objection.
- 19 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you. Then Docket
- 04-0197 is severed from Docket Number 04-0192.
- 21 MR. SMITH: Next is Docket 04-0192, Diverse
- Communications, Inc.. There is on file on e-Docket

```
Diverse Exhibits 1 and 2 which is the prepared
 1
         testimony of Mr. Kraut. We propose to file
 2
        Mr. Kraut's affidavit and mark it as Exhibit 3 and
 3
 4
         revise Exhibit 2 by changing the question and
         inserting the phrase "the format of" in both of the
 5
 6
        proprietary and public versions of Exhibit 2, and
         accordingly we at this time move to admit Diverse
 7
 8
        Exhibit 1, Diverse Revised Exhibit 2, and Diverse
        Exhibit 3.
 9
10
              JUDGE ALBERS: Any objection?
11
              MR. MADIAR: No objection.
12
              JUDGE ALBERS: Hearing no objection, then
13
         Diverse Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2 Revised, and Exhibit 3
         will be admitted into the record in Docket Number
14
15
         04-0192. I'll note that the first exhibit is on
16
        e-Docket and the latter two will be filed on
17
        e-Docket shortly.
                    (Whereupon Diverse Exhibits 1, 2 Revised,
18
                    and 3 were received into evidence.)
19
              JUDGE ALBERS: Anything further with regard to
20
```

MR. SMITH: No.

Diverse?

```
JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you.
 1
              MR. SMITH: Judge, last but not least is Docket
 2
         04-0194, Viola Home Telephone Company, and we have
 3
 4
         on file on e-Docket Viola Exhibits 1 and 2. We will
         file the affidavit of Mr. Kraut and mark it as
 5
 6
         Exhibit 3 to refer back to Exhibits 1 and 2, and we
         will modify Exhibit 2 by amending a question to
 7
 8
         insert the phrase "the format of" and file a Revised
        Exhibit 2 on e-Docket in both public and proprietary
 9
10
        versions.
11
                    So at this time I would move to admit
         Viola Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2 Revised, and Exhibit 3.
12
13
              JUDGE ALBERS: Any objection?
14
              MR. RASHES: No objection, Your Honor.
15
              MR. MADIAR: No objection, Your Honor.
16
              JUDGE ALBERS: Viola Exhibit 1, 2 Revised, and
17
        Exhibit 3 are admitted into the record in Docket
         04-0194. The first is on e-Docket and the latter
18
19
         two will be filed on e-Docket shortly.
20
                    (Whereupon Viola Exhibits 1, 2 Revised,
21
                    and 3 were received into evidence.)
```

JUDGE ALBERS: Is there anything further with

```
2
              MR. SMITH: No.
 3
              JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you.
              MR. MADIAR: Could we go off the record
 4
         shortly, for a short period, Your Honor?
 5
 6
              JUDGE ALBERS: All right.
 7
              MR. MADIAR: I might have a way to expedite
        this.
 8
 9
              JUDGE ALBERS: We'll go off the record.
10
                    (Whereupon at this point in the
11
                    proceedings an off-the-record discussion
12
                    transpired.)
13
              JUDGE ALBERS: Back on the record.
14
                    Mr. Rashes, would you --
15
              MR. RASHES: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
                    Verizon Wireless prefiled a document
17
        marked Exhibit 1 consisting of the direct testimony
        of Michael A. McDermott along with three
18
         attachments, Attachments A, B and C, identical
19
         copies of which were filed on e-Docket in the
20
21
        matters of Gridley Telephone Company, 04-0180;
22
        Grandview Mutual Telephone Company, 04-0282;
```

1

regard to Viola?

- 1 Montrose Mutual Telephone Company, 04-0196; Glasford
- 2 Telephone Company, 04-0193; Leaf River Telephone
- 3 Company, Docket 04-0198; Moultrie Independent
- 4 Telephone Company, Docket 04-0189; Reynolds
- 5 Telephone Company, Docket 04-0206; New Windsor
- 6 Telephone Company, Docket 04-0195; Oneida Telephone
- 7 Exchange, Docket 04-0200; Oneida Network Services,
- 8 Docket 04-0199; Woodhull Community Telephone
- 9 Company, Docket 04-0197; and Viola Home Telephone
- 10 Company, Docket 04-0194.
- 11 We will also in the next few days be
- filing Exhibit 2 which will consist of the affidavit
- of Michael A. McDermott in support of his direct
- 14 testimony.
- Your Honor, with that, I ask for the
- 16 admission of Verizon Wireless Exhibits 1 and 2 in
- the matter of Gridley Telephone Company, 04-0180.
- JUDGE ALBERS: What was that last part? I'm
- 19 sorry.
- MR. SMITH: He was going to do them one at a
- 21 time.
- 22 MR. RASHES: I'm assuming that in terms of

- 1 moving for admission you want them one at a time to
- 2 assist the Court Reporter during indexing, so I so
- 3 move for the admission of just Gridley and stop and
- 4 then I'll do the rest. If you want, I can lump them
- 5 all together.
- JUDGE ALBERS: No, you're doing fine. Any
- 7 objection?
- 8 MR. MUNCY: No objection.
- 9 MR. MADIAR: No objection.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Then in Docket 04-0180 Verizon
- 11 Wireless Exhibit 1 with three attachments and
- 12 Exhibit 2 are admitted.
- 13 (Whereupon in Docket 04-0180 Verizon
- Wireless Exhibits 1 and 2 were received
- into evidence.)
- MR. RASHES: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 17 I now move for the admission of Verizon
- 18 Wireless Exhibit 1 with three attachments and
- 19 Exhibit 2 in the matter of Grandview Mutual
- Telephone Company, 04-0282.
- MR. MADIAR: No objection.
- MR. MUNCY: No objection.

1	JUDGE ALBERS: Then in Docket 04-0282, Verizon
2	Wireless Exhibit 1 and the three attachments and
3	Exhibit 2 are admitted.
4	(Whereupon in Docket 04-0282 Verizon
5	Wireless Exhibits 1 and 2 were received
6	into evidence.)
7	MR. RASHES: Your Honor, I move for the
8	admission of Verizon Wireless Exhibit 1 and Exhibit
9	2 in the matter of Montrose Mutual Telephone
10	Company, Docket 04-0196.
11	JUDGE ALBERS: Any objections?
12	MR. MADIAR: No objection.
13	MR. SMITH: No objection.
14	JUDGE ALBERS: In Docket Number 04-0196 Verizon
15	Wireless Exhibit 1 with three attachments and
16	Verizon Wireless Exhibit 2 are admitted.
17	(Whereupon in Docket 04-0196 Verizon
18	Wireless Exhibits 1 and 2 were received
19	into evidence.)
20	MR. RASHES: Your Honor, in the matter of
21	Glasford Telephone Company, Docket 04-0193, I move
22	for the admission of Verizon Wireless Evhibit 1 with

1	three attachments and Exhibit 2.
2	JUDGE ALBERS: Objections?
3	MR. SMITH: No objection.
4	MR. MADIAR: No objection.
5	JUDGE ALBERS: In Docket 04-0193 Verizon
6	Wireless Exhibit 1 and the three attachments and
7	Verizon Wireless Exhibit 2 is admitted.
8	(Whereupon in Docket 04-0193 Verizon
9	Wireless Exhibits 1 and 2 were received
10	into evidence.)
11	MR. RASHES: Your Honor, in the matter of Leaf
12	River Telephone Company, Docket 04-0198, I move for
13	the admission of Verizon Wireless Exhibit 1 along
14	with its three attachments and Exhibit 2.
15	JUDGE ALBERS: Objections?
16	MR. SMITH: No.
17	MR. MADIAR: No objection.
18	JUDGE ALBERS: Then in Docket 04-0198 Verizon
19	Wireless Exhibit 1 and three attachments and Verizon
20	Exhibit 2 are admitted.
21	(Whereupon in Docket 04-0198 Verizon

Wireless Exhibits 1 and 2 were received

1	into evidence.)
2	MR. RASHES: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	In the matter of Moultrie Independent
4	Telephone Company, Docket 04-0189, I move for the
5	admission of Verizon Wireless Exhibit 1 along with
6	three attachments and Verizon Wireless Exhibit 2.
7	JUDGE ALBERS: Objections?
8	MR. MUNCY: No objection.
9	JUDGE ALBERS: None from Staff.
10	JUDGE ALBERS: Then in Docket 04-0189 Verizon
11	Wireless Exhibit 1 with three attachments and
12	Verizon Wireless Exhibit 2 are admitted.
13	(Whereupon in Docket 04-0189 Verizon
14	Wireless Exhibits 1 and 2 were received
15	into evidence.)
16	MR. RASHES: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	In the matter of Reynolds Telephone
18	Company, Docket 04-0206, I move for the admission of
19	Verizon Wireless Exhibit 1 along with the three
20	attachments and Verizon Wireless Exhibit 2.
21	JUDGE ALBERS: Any objections?
22	MR. MUNCY: No objection.

1	MR. MADIAR: No objection.
2	JUDGE ALBERS: Then in Docket 04-0206 Verizon
3	Wireless Exhibit 1 and the three attachments and
4	Verizon Wireless Exhibit 2 are admitted.
5	(Whereupon in Docket 04-0206 Verizon
6	Wireless Exhibits 1 and 2 were received
7	into evidence.)
8	MR. RASHES: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	In the matter of New Windsor Telephone
10	Company, Docket 04-0195, I move for the admission of
11	Verizon Wireless Exhibit 1 along with three
12	attachments and Verizon Wireless Exhibit 2.
13	JUDGE ALBERS: Objections?
14	MR. MADIAR: No objection.
15	MR. SMITH: No, Judge.
16	JUDGE ALBERS: Then in Docket 04-0195 Verizon
17	Wireless Exhibit 1 and the three attachments and
18	Verizon Wireless Exhibit 2 are admitted.
19	(Whereupon in Docket 04-0195 Verizon
20	Wireless Exhibits 1 and 2 were received
21	into evidence.)
22	MR. RASHES: Your Honor, in the matter of

- Oneida Telephone Exchange, Docket 04-0200, I move
- for the admission of Verizon Wireless Exhibit 1
- 3 along with three attachments and Verizon Wireless
- 4 Exhibit 2.
- 5 JUDGE ALBERS: Objections?
- 6 MR. MADIAR: No objection.
- 7 MR. MUNCY: No objection.
- 8 JUDGE ALBERS: Then in Docket 04-0200 Verizon
- 9 Wireless Exhibit 1 and the three attachments and
- 10 Verizon Wireless Exhibit 2 are admitted.
- 11 (Whereupon in Docket 04-0200 Verizon
- 12 Wireless Exhibits 1 and 2 were received
- into evidence.)
- MR. SMITH: No objection.
- MR. MUNCY: I think I didn't object when it was
- his. It was his turn not to object.
- 17 MR. SMITH: Yeah, so I just wanted --
- 18 MR. MUNCY: I do object. Smith has had it too
- 19 easy.
- 20 MR. SMITH: So I'm on the record, I just want
- 21 to make -- to keep the agreement in place, I have
- 22 not objected.

- 1 JUDGE ALBERS: I appreciate that.
- 2 MR. RASHES: In the matter of Oneida Network
- 3 Services, Inc., Docket 04-0199, I move for the
- 4 admission of Verizon Wireless Exhibit 1 with three
- 5 attachments and Verizon Wireless Exhibit 2.
- 6 MR. SMITH: No objection.
- 7 MR. MADIAR: No objection.
- 8 JUDGE ALBERS: Then in Docket 04-0199 Verizon
- 9 Wireless Exhibit 1 with the three attachments and
- 10 Verizon Wireless Exhibit 2 are admitted.
- 11 (Whereupon in Docket 04-0199 Verizon
- 12 Wireless Exhibits 1 and 2 were received
- into evidence.)
- MR. RASHES: Your Honor, in the matter of
- Woodhull Community Telephone Company, Docket
- 16 04-0197, I move for the admission of Verizon
- Wireless Exhibit 1 along with three attachments and
- 18 Verizon Wireless Exhibit 2.
- 19 JUDGE ALBERS: Objections?
- MR. SMITH: No objection.
- MR. MADIAR: No objection.
- 22 JUDGE ALBERS: Then Verizon Wireless Exhibit 1

1	with three attachments and Verizon Wireless Exhibit
2	2 are admitted in Docket Number 04-0197.
3	(Whereupon in Docket 04-0197 Verizon
4	Wireless Exhibits 1 and 2 were received
5	into evidence.)
6	MR. RASHES: And finally, Your Honor, in the
7	matter of Viola Home Telephone Company, Docket
8	04-0194, I move for the admission of Verizon
9	Wireless Exhibit 1 along with its three attachments
LO	and Verizon Wireless Exhibit 2.
L1	JUDGE ALBERS: Objections?
L2	MR. SMITH: No objection.
L3	MR. MADIAR: No objections.
L 4	JUDGE ALBERS: Then Verizon Wireless Exhibit 1
L 5	with the three attachments and Verizon Wireless
L 6	Exhibit 2 are admitted.
L7	(Whereupon in Docket 04-0194 Verizon
L8	Wireless Exhibits 1 and 2 were received
L 9	into evidence.)
20	MR. RASHES: Your Honor, Verizon Wireless I
21	may be leaving before we complete Staff's exhibits.

I just want to go on the record to state that

- 1 Verizon Wireless will have no objection to the
- 2 admission of Staff's exhibits pursuant to the
- 3 stipulation, if I'm not here to personally so say.
- 4 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you.
- 5 MR. SMITH: Before you go, can I just make one
- footnote in the cases that I'm involved in? All the
- 7 exhibits that were admitted, the attachments that
- 8 are on e-Docket are a part of the admission of the
- 9 exhibits. Correct?
- JUDGE ALBERS: That's my understanding, yes.
- 11 MR. SMITH: And is that yours?
- MR. RASHES: I understood that as well.
- MR. MADIAR: That is my understanding.
- MR. SMITH: Thank you. I just wanted to
- simplify and clarify the record. Thank you.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Sure. And, Mr. Rashes, before
- 17 you leave, were you going to file your affidavit on
- 18 e-Docket or submit it?
- MR. RASHES: Yes, I will, Your Honor.
- 20 JUDGE ALBERS: You will file it on e-Docket?
- 21 MR. RASHES: I hope to have it filed on
- Wednesday.

- 1 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.
- 2 MR. RASHES: That's my goal.
- JUDGE ALBERS: I didn't know if I should expect
- 4 it in the mail or have it show up on my screen.
- 5 MR. COY: E-mail.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.
- 7 MR. SMITH: Can we go off the record for just a
- 8 second?
- 9 JUDGE ALBERS: Off the record.
- 10 (Whereupon at this point in the
- 11 proceedings an off-the-record discussion
- 12 transpired.)
- 13 JUDGE ALBERS: Back on the record.
- And now we have Staff to hear from.
- MR. MADIAR: Thank you, Your Honor.
- In Docket Number 04-0180 for Gridley
- 17 Telephone, Staff will be submitting the affidavits
- of -- labeled as 1.1 for Mr. Hoagg and 3.4 for
- 19 Mr. Koch which will be their prefiled testimony
- 20 previously filed on the e-Docket system, and we
- 21 would move for the admission of the previously filed
- on the e-Docket system of Jeffrey Hoagg Exhibit 1.0

```
1
        and Robert F. Koch's prefiled Exhibit 3.0, schedule
         3.1, 3.2, 3.3 Public and 3.3 Proprietary.
 2
 3
              JUDGE ALBERS: Off the record.
 4
                    (Whereupon at this point in the
 5
                    proceedings an off-the-record discussion
 6
                    transpired.)
              JUDGE ALBERS: Back on the record.
 7
 8
              MR. MADIAR: Okay. In Docket Number 04-0180,
         Gridley Telephone, I move for the admission of Staff
 9
10
        Exhibits 1.0 and 1.1. In addition, Staff Exhibit --
         can we go off the record for a second?
11
12
              JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Off the record.
13
                    (Whereupon at this point in the
14
                    proceedings an off-the-record discussion
15
                    transpired.)
              MR. STANTON: Your Honor, in Docket Number
16
17
         04-0180 Staff seeks the admission of exhibits
18
        previously filed on the e-Docket system: Exhibit
19
         1.0, a later filed affidavit labeled 1.1, prefiled
20
        testimony labeled Exhibit 3.0, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and
21
         3.3 Proprietary, in addition to a late-filed Exhibit
```

22

3.4.

Τ	JUDGE ALBERS: Any objection?
2	MR. MUNCY: No objection, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE ALBERS: Hearing no objection, then Staff
4	Exhibit 1.0, 1.1,, 3.0 and Schedules 3.1 through
5	3.4, noting two versions of 3.3, are admitted into
6	the record in Docket 04-0180.
7	(Whereupon in Docket 04-0180 Staff
8	Exhibits 1.0, 1.1, and 3.0 with schedules
9	was received into evidence.)
10	MR. MADIAR: Your Honor, in Docket Number
11	04-0282, Grandview Mutual Telephone, Staff seeks the
12	admission of Staff Exhibit 1.0 and a late-filed
13	Exhibit 1.1; in addition, Exhibit 3.0 and Schedules
14	3.1, 3.2, 3.3 Public, 3.3 Proprietary, and a
15	late-filed Exhibit 3.4.
16	JUDGE ALBERS: Hearing no objection, the
17	exhibits and schedules are admitted.
18	(Whereupon in Docket 04-0282 Staff
19	Exhibits 1.0, 1.1, 3.0 with schedules,
20	and 3.4 were received into evidence.)
21	MR. MADIAR: Your Honor, in Docket Number
22	04-0196, Montrose Mutual Telephone Company, Staff

```
1
         seeks the admission of Staff Exhibit 1.0 and a later
         filed Exhibit 1.1, Staff Exhibit 3.0 and Schedules
 2
         3.1 -- strike that; Revised Schedule 3.1, Revised
 3
 4
         Schedule 3.2, Schedule 3.3 Public, Schedule 3.3
 5
         Proprietary, and a later filed affidavit as Exhibit
 6
         3.4 and Exhibit 2.0 and a later filed Exhibit 2.1.
 7
              JUDGE ALBERS: Any objection?
 8
              MR. SMITH: No objection.
              JUDGE ALBERS: Hearing no objection, then the
 9
10
         exhibits and schedules are admitted.
11
                    (Whereupon in Docket 04-0196 Staff
12
                    Exhibits 1.0, 1.1, 3.0 with schedules,
13
                    2.0, and 2.1 were received into
14
                    evidence.)
15
              MR. MADIAR: Your Honor, in Docket Number
16
         04-0283, Crossville, Staff will be filing -- seeks
17
         the admission of Exhibit 1.0 and a late-filed
        affidavit labeled 1.1. In addition, the Exhibit 3.0
18
         and Revised Schedule 3.1, Revised Schedule 3.2,
19
20
        Revised Schedule 3.3 Public, Revised Schedule 3.3
         Proprietary, and a late-filed affidavit labeled
21
```

3.4. In addition, with the affidavit 3.4 we seek

- 1 the admission of a second Revised Schedule 3.1 to
- 2 change the title of it from Grandview Mutual
- 3 Telephone Company to the proper name of Crossville
- 4 Telephone Company.
- 5 MR. SMITH: No objection.
- 6 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Hearing no objection, I'm
- 7 going to read through these to make sure I got it
- 8 right with that change. Staff Exhibit 1.0 and 1.1
- 9 are admitted as well as Staff Exhibit 3.0 with
- 10 Schedule 3.1 Second Revised, 3.2 Revised, and a
- 11 revised public and revised proprietary version of
- 12 3.3.
- MR. MADIAR: Correct.
- JUDGE ALBERS: As well as 3.4.
- MR. MADIAR: Correct.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Those are admitted.
- 17 (Whereupon in Docket 04-0283 Staff
- Exhibits 1.0, 1.1, 3.0 with schedules,
- and 3.4 was received into evidence.)
- MR. MADIAR: Thank you, Your Honor.
- In Docket Number 04-0193 Staff seeks the
- 22 admission of Revised Exhibit 1.0 and a late-filed

- affidavit 1.1; in addition, Revised Exhibit 3.0,
- 2 Revised Schedule 3.1, Revised Schedule 3.2, and
- 3 Revised Schedule 3.3 and a late-filed affidavit 3.4,
- 4 all of which have been previously filed on the
- 5 e-Docket system.
- 6 MR. SMITH: No objection.
- JUDGE ALBERS: I'm sorry. Could you go through
- 8 those?
- 9 MR. MADIAR: Sure.
- 10 JUDGE ALBERS: That series of 3, the 3. Series
- for me to make sure I have the revisions.
- MR. MADIAR: Sure. Revised 3.0, Revised 3.1,
- 3.2, 3.3 Public and 3.3 Proprietary, all of them
- 14 revised.
- JUDGE ALBERS: And then 3.4.
- MR. MADIAR: And then 3.4, the late-filed
- 17 affidavit.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.
- MR. SMITH: The revised are already on
- e-Docket.
- MR. MADIAR: Right.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Hearing no objection, then the

```
1
        exhibits are admitted.
                    (Whereupon Staff Revised Exhibits 1.0,
 2
 3
                    1.1, Revised 3.0 with schedules, and 3.4
 4
                    were received into evidence.)
              MR. MADIAR: For Docket No. 04-0198 Staff seeks
 5
 6
         the admission of Revised Exhibit 1.0 and a late-
         filed affidavit labeled 1.1, the Revised Exhibit
 7
 8
         3.0, Revised Schedule 3.1, Revised Schedule 3.2,
        Revised Schedule 3.3 Public, Revised Schedule 3.3
 9
10
         Proprietary, and Exhibit 2.0 and late filed --
        pardon me -- affidavit labeled 3.4 and late-filed
11
12
         affidavit 2.1.
13
              MR. SMITH: No objection.
              JUDGE ALBERS: Your exhibits are admitted.
14
15
                    (Whereupon in Docket 04-0198 Staff
16
                    Exhibits Revised 1.0, 1.1, 2.0, 2.1,
17
                    Revised 3.0 with schedules, and 3.4
                    were received into evidence.)
18
19
              MR. MADIAR: For Docket Number 04-0189, which
20
         is Moultrie Independent Telephone Company, Staff
21
         seeks the admission of Exhibit 1.0 and a late-filed
22
         affidavit label 1.1, Exhibit 3.0, Schedule 3.1,
```

```
Schedule 3.2, Schedule 3.3 Public, Schedule 3.3
```

- 2 Proprietary, and a late-filed affidavit 3.4.
- 3 MR. MUNCY: No objection.
- 4 MR. MADIAR: All of these documents have been
- 5 previously filed on the e-Docket system.
- 6 JUDGE ALBERS: Hearing no objection, then the
- 7 exhibits are admitted.
- 8 (Whereupon in Docket 04-0189 Staff
- 9 Exhibits 1.0, 1.1, 3.0 with schedules,
- and 3.4 were received into evidence.)
- MR. MADIAR: For Docket Number 04-0206,
- 12 Reynolds Telephone Company, Staff seeks the
- admission of Exhibit 1.0 and a late-filed affidavit
- labeled Exhibit 1.1, Exhibit 3.0, Schedule 3.1,
- Schedule 3.2, Schedule 3.3, all of which have been
- 16 previously filed on the e-Docket system, and a
- 17 late-filed Exhibit 3.4, the affidavit.
- MR. MUNCY: No objection.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Did you say there's a public and
- 20 private to 3.3 or just a public?
- 21 MR. MADIAR: 3.3 Public and 3.3 Private,
- 22 Proprietary.

1	JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Those exhibits are
2	admitted.
3	(Whereupon in Docket 04-0206 Staff
4	Exhibits 1.0, 1.1, 3.0 with
5	schedules, and 3.4 were received
6	into evidence.)
7	MR. MADIAR: For Docket Number 04-0195, New
8	Windsor Telephone Company, Staff seeks the admission
9	of Revised Exhibit 1.1 and a later filed affidavit
LO	1.1 I'm sorry Revised Exhibit 1.0 and a late-
11	filed affidavit 1.1; in addition, Revised Exhibit
12	3.0, Schedule 3.1, Schedule 3.2, Schedule 3.3
13	Public, Schedule 3.3 Proprietary, and a later filed
L 4	affidavit 3.4, and one more; two additional
15	exhibits: Exhibit 2.0 and a later filed affidavit
L 6	which will be labeled as Exhibit 2.1.
L7	MR. SMITH: No objection.
18	JUDGE ALBERS: The exhibits are admitted.
19	(Whereupon in Docket 04-0195 Staff
20	Exhibits 1.0 Revised, 1.1, 3.0 Revised
21	with schedules, 3.4, 2.0, and 2.1

were received into evidence.)

- 1 MR. SMITH: And this is New Windsor?
- JUDGE ALBERS: 0195 is my understanding.
- 3 MR. MADIAR: Right.
- 4 MR. SMITH: Correct. Just since we're on that
- 5 docket, just as a point of clarification, when
- 6 you're admitting a revised exhibit, it will include
- 7 both the proprietary and public version of that. Is
- 8 that correct?
- 9 MR. MADIAR: That is correct.
- 10 MR. SMITH: Even if we're not reading -- I did
- 11 not read off the public and proprietary version, so
- 12 I assume it's admitted without the differentiation.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Yes. Since Commission rules
- require a public version of any proprietary
- document, it's my understanding -- I understand it
- then that you were offering both.
- MR. SMITH: Correct, and in all of the cases
- that I was referring to.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Yes.
- 20 MR. SMITH: Thank you. Appreciate it.
- JUDGE ALBERS: No problem.
- MR. MADIAR: Okay. For Docket Number 04-0200,

```
1
        which is Oneida Telephone Exchange, Staff seeks the
         admission of Revised Exhibit 1.0 and a later filed
 2
         affidavit labeled Exhibit 1.1; in addition, Revised
 3
 4
        Exhibit 3.0, Schedule 3.1, Schedule 3.2, Schedule
 5
         3.3 Public, Schedule 3.3 Proprietary, and a later
 6
         filed affidavit 3.4; and, finally, Exhibit 2.0 and a
 7
         later filed affidavit labeled 2.1, all of which have
 8
        been previously filed on the e-Docket system.
 9
              MR. SMITH: Except for the 2.1.
10
              MR. MADIAR: Correct.
              MR. SMITH: And the 1.1.
11
12
              MR. MADIAR: Right, and the 3.4.
13
              MR. SMITH: And I have no objection.
              JUDGE ALBERS: The exhibits are admitted.
14
15
                    (Whereupon in Docket 04-0200 Staff
16
                    Exhibits 1.0 Revised, 1.1, 2.0, 2.1,
17
                    3.0 Revised with schedules, and 3.4 were
                    received into evidence.)
18
              MR. MADIAR: In Docket Number 04-0199 Staff
19
         seeks the admission of Revised Exhibit 1.0 and a
20
         later filed affidavit 1.1; Revised Exhibit 3.0,
21
```

Schedule 3.1, Schedule 3.2, Schedule 3.3 Public,

```
1
         Schedule 3.3 Proprietary, and Exhibit 2.0 and a
         later filed affidavit 2.1, and, finally, the later
 2
         filed Exhibit 3.4. I would seek their admission.
 3
 4
              MR. SMITH: No objection.
 5
              JUDGE ALBERS: And they're admitted.
 6
                    (Whereupon in Docket 04-0199 Staff
 7
                    Exhibits 1.0 Revised, 1.1, 2.0, 2.1,
 8
                    3.0 Revised with schedules, and 3.4
 9
                    were received into evidence.)
10
              MR. MADIAR: For Docket Number 04-0197, which
11
         is Woodhull Community Telephone Company, Staff seeks
12
         the admission of Revised Exhibit 1.0 and a later
13
         filed affidavit labeled as 1.1, Revised Exhibit 3.0,
14
         Schedule 3.1, Schedule 3.2, Schedule 3.3 Public, and
         Schedule 3.3 Proprietary, and a later filed
15
16
         affidavit 3.4; finally, Exhibit 2.0 and a later
17
         filed affidavit 2.1.
18
              MR. SMITH: No objection.
19
              JUDGE ALBERS: Then the exhibits are admitted.
20
                    (Whereupon in Docket 04-0197 Staff
21
                    Exhibits 1.0 Revised, 1.1, 2.0, 2.1,
```

3.0 Revised with schedules, and 3.4 were

- 1 received into evidence.)
- 2 MR. MADIAR: Staff seeks the admission in
- 3 Docket Number 04-0192 Exhibit 1.0 Revised, Revised
- 4 Exhibit 3.0, Revised Schedule 3.1, Revised Schedule
- 5 3.2, Revised Schedule 3.3 Public, Revised Schedule
- 6 3.3 Proprietary, Late Exhibit 2.0, all of which has
- been previously filed on the e-Docket system, and
- 8 later filed affidavits 1.1, later filed affidavit
- 9 3.4, and later filed Exhibit 2.1.
- 10 MR. SMITH: No objection, and 2.1 will be an
- 11 affidavit.
- MR. MADIAR: Correct.
- 13 JUDGE ALBERS: Was 1.0 revised?
- MR. MADIAR: Yes.
- MR. SMITH: Still no objection.
- JUDGE ALBERS: 3.0 revised?
- 17 MR. MADIAR: Thank you, Mr. Smith.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Was 3.0 revised?
- MR. MADIAR: Yes. All of the documents as they
- 20 appear on the e-Docket system are revised, Exhibit
- 3.0 and schedules as well.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Hearing no objection, the

```
1
        exhibits are admitted.
                    (Whereupon in Docket 04-0192 Staff
 2
                    Exhibits 1.0 Revised, 1.1, 2.0, 2.1,
 3
 4
                    3.0 Revised with schedules, and 3.4 were
                    received into evidence.)
 5
 6
              MR. MADIAR: Last but not least, in Docket
 7
        Number 04-0194, Viola Home Telephone Company, Staff
 8
         seeks the admission of Revised Exhibit 1.0, Revised
        Exhibit 3.0, Revised Schedule 3.1, Revised Schedule
 9
10
         3.2, Revised Schedule 3.3, and Exhibit 2.0. Staff
        would also later file Exhibit 1.1 as an affidavit
11
12
         and also file affidavits -- later filed affidavits
13
         labeled 3.4 and 2.1. We would seek the admission of
14
         all of these items.
15
              MR. SMITH: No objection.
16
              JUDGE ALBERS: The exhibits are admitted.
17
                    (Whereupon in Docket 04-0194 Staff
18
                    Exhibits 1.0 Revised, 1.1, 2.0, 2.1,
19
                    3.0 Revised with schedules, and 3.4
20
                    were received into evidence.)
21
              JUDGE ALBERS: Anything further from Staff?
22
              MR. MADIAR: That is all Staff has in terms of
```

- 1 seeking the admission.
- 2 Staff would make Mr. Hoagg available for
- 3 all the docketed matters that were previously called
- 4 today for this evidentiary hearing.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Mr. Hoagg, could you please take
- 6 the stand.
- 7 (Whereupon the witness was sworn by Judge
- 8 Albers.)
- 9 JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you.
- 10 JEFFREY H. HOAGG
- called as a witness on behalf of the Staff of the
- 12 Illinois Commerce Commission, having been first duly
- sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
- 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- BY MR. MADIAR:
- 16 Q. Mr. Hoagg, if you would please state your name
- for the record and provide your business address.
- 18 THE WITNESS:
- 19 A. Jeffrey Hoagg, H-O-A-G-G, 527 East Capitol
- Avenue, Springfield, Illinois 62701.
- MR. MADIAR Your Honor, I would make Mr. Hoagg
- 22 available for your cross-examination.

- JUDGE ALBERS: And, Mr. Hoagg, this testimony
 you're about to give is in addition to your direct
 testimony just admitted into this docket for all of
- 4 these dockets?
- 5 THE WITNESS: That's my understanding, Your
- 6 Honor.
- 7 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. I just want to make sure
- 8 the record is clear.
- 9 MR. SMITH: Judge, just in that regard, can I
- 10 make it clear that all of the -- that this testimony
- 11 pertains to all of the pending dockets that you
- 12 originally called?
- 13 JUDGE ALBERS: Yes.
- MR. SMITH: I mean I assume that's the case.
- JUDGE ALBERS: I was just going to say that
- with regard to all of the companies that we're
- currently considering, my two questions apply to
- 18 every company that is currently being considered in
- 19 this hearing.
- THE WITNESS: I understand that, Your Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.
- THE WITNESS: I understand that clearly.

- 1 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.
- 2 EXAMINATION
- 3 BY JUDGE ALBERS:
- 4 Q. Generally then, with regard to the potential
- 5 for a patchwork of suspensions to result from the
- 6 Commission's granting of some requests and denial of
- 7 others or just simply with regard to the fact that
- 8 some carriers have not requested a suspension at
- 9 all, should the Commission consider the impact of
- 10 their actions with regard to any potential for a
- 11 patchwork of suspensions?
- 12 A. Yes. I believe that that potential patchwork
- should be of some concern to the Commission and the
- 14 Commission should consider that in it's decision-
- making in these dockets.
- 16 Q. What type of concerns do you believe could
- 17 arise?
- 18 A. I believe that a patchwork of that type is
- 19 likely to cause or at least increase customer
- 20 confusion and various difficulties associated with
- 21 customer confusion. That's all on the customer
- 22 side. Of course that's not in any great detail.

- 1 I've just outlined, you know, the overall
- 2 difficulties I would see.
- 3 I believe it would also pose some
- 4 problems for companies attempting to cope with such
- 5 a patchwork, and it seems to me virtually certain
- 6 that a patchwork would cause at least some companies
- 7 to incur costs that they wouldn't otherwise incur
- 8 absent that patchwork.
- 9 Q. Okay. To the extent that implementation of
- 10 wireline-to-wireless local number portability
- impacts number pooling, do you believe the
- 12 Commission should consider any such impact?
- 13 A. Yes. I also believe that the Commission
- should consider that impact carefully. I'm not
- expert in that area of number pooling so I did not
- try to give the Commission any detailed advice or
- 17 recommendations regarding that, but it is my belief
- that they should consider that carefully.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Hoagg.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 21 JUDGE ALBERS: Did you have any redirect?
- MR. MADIAR: No.

- 1 MR. SMITH: Based on these questions I do have
- 2 a question though.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Well, does anyone have any
- 4 objection?
- 5 MR. MADIAR: Wait, wait, wait. No.
- 6 Objection.
- 7 MR. SMITH: You mean in terms of the
- 8 agreement?
- 9 MR. MADIAR: The agreement was that the Judge
- 10 would have his questions and that was it.
- 11 MR. MUNCY: I think that's right, Gary.
- MR. SMITH: Okay. Okay. He didn't give as
- 13 complete an answer in this as he may have in some of
- the others. That was my concern. All right.
- 15 That's fine.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you, Mr. Hoagg.
- 17 MR. SMITH: All right.
- 18 JUDGE ALBERS: And Staff I assume has no
- 19 redirect?
- 20 MR. MADIAR: Staff has no redirect.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.
- MR. MADIAR: Staff rests.

1 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Hoagg. (Witness excused.) 2 3 MR. MUNCY: And he was here on time. 4 JUDGE ALBERS: Yes. Let the record reflect. 5 I have one other matter. In each of the 6 dockets currently pending, on May 24 Staff filed a motion for leave to file certain testimony 7 instanter. Is there any objection to that motion? 8 9 MR. MUNCY: No objection. 10 MR. SMITH: No. I believe you've essentially already taken care of it by admitting those 11 12 exhibits, so I have no objection. 13 JUDGE ALBERS: I think that would be correct. 14 Since you didn't object earlier. 15 MR. SMITH: Since they're admitted, right. 16 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. So the record is clear 17 then, the motion in each case is granted. 18 Is there any other matter of any nature 19 for the record, consistent with the parties' earlier 20 agreement that was earlier brought up? 21 MR. MADIAR: None that the Staff is aware of,

22

Your Honor.

1	JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. All right. Thank you
2	all, and Mr. Smith, you were
3	MR. SMITH: Well, are you going to mark the
4	record at this time? These records?
5	JUDGE ALBERS: Yes.
6	MR. SMITH: Okay. That was the only thing I
7	was
8	JUDGE ALBERS: That's my next step here.
9	MR. SMITH: Correct.
10	JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. If there's nothing
11	further then, the record in each of these matters is
12	marked Heard and Taken.
13	HEARD AND TAKEN
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
2.2	